(3)

(1)

CG-1001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 2590 OF 2005

PETITIONER

H. PETER, SON OF SHRI L.PETER, AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS, PRESENTLY POSTED AS TOWN INSPECTOR, MANENDRAGARH, DISTRICT KORIYA (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH THROUGH THE SECRETARY OF HOME MINISTRY, D.K.S. BHAWAN, RAIPUR.

2. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, POLICE HEADQUARTERS, RAIPUR.

3. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, DISTRICT KORIYA.

4. DR. MAHMOOD NAYYAR AZAM, AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS, SON OF LATE MD. ISHAQUE, RESIDENT OF WEST CHIRMIRI COLLIERY, PONDI, DISTRICT KORIYA.

5. ANANT RAM SAHU, A.S.I,PRESENTLY POSTED AT POLICE STATION CHAKRADHARNAGAR DISTRICT RAIGARH.

P.R. No. 26431 dt. 9/11/2019
Presented by Shri. B.P.M. Mishra
dated 26.12.19

RECEIVED COPY
16.6.05
CLERK to A.G. BILASPUR

(2) (4)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 227 & 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF AN APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS / CERTIORARI ETC OR DIRECTION(S) / ORDER(S) IN THE LIKE NATURE ETC.

(130)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.2590 **सन् 2005**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	13.07.2005 Dr. N.K. Shukla, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Shailendra Shukla, learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Pankaj Shrivastava, learned Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh / respondents 1 to 4. In the present case filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India by the petitioner, the petitioner impugnes the order dated 13.05.2005 (Annexure P-1) whereby the Superintendent of Police, Korea has been directed to register a case under Section 29 of the Police Act, 1861 against the guilty delinquent officers. Learned counsel for the petitioner is not in a position to explain as to how on the basis of the document (Annexure P-1), a case has been registered against the petitioner. This is a general letter wherein the Superintendent of Police has been directed to take action and register case against the delinquent officers. The petitioner presumes in advance that he has been guilty and a case is likely to be registered against him. This petition is premature at this stage. No cause of action has arisen to infringe any legal / statutory right of the petitioner. In view of the foregoing, the petition is dismissed. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Sarma